

Appln No. 10/803,380
Amdt date August 31, 2006
Reply to Office action of June 1, 2006

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The above identified patent application has been amended and reconsideration and reexamination are hereby requested.

Claims 1 - 8 are now in the application. Claim 1 has been amended. Claims 9 - 15 have been withdrawn.

In the Advisory Action of August 14, 2006, the Examiner has objected to the terms "common to" as having various interpretations. The Applicant has amended Claim 1 to remove the "common to" terminology and to further define relationships of discharge cells to the first electrodes.

Therefore, in view of the above amendment and remarks it is submitted that the claims are patentably distinct over the prior art and that all the rejections to the claims have been overcome. As such, allowance of the above Application is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP


By _____

Richard J. Paciulan
Reg. No. 28,248
626/795-9900

RJP/cah

CAH PAS697978.1-* 08/31/06 2:19 PM